

**INVER GROVE HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2010 - 8150 BARBARA AVENUE**

CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL The City Council of Inver Grove Heights met in regular session on Monday, October 11, 2010, in the City Council Chambers. Mayor Tourville called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. Present were Council members Grannis, Klein, Madden, and Piekarski Krech; City Administrator Lynch, Assistant City Administrator Teppen, City Attorney Kuntz, Public Works Director Thureen, Parks & Recreation Director Carlson, Community Development Director Link, Finance Director Lanoue, Chief Kleckner, and Deputy Clerk Rheume.

3. PRESENTATIONS:

A. Coyote Issues – MN DNR

Mayor Tourville stated that Inver Grove Heights is not the only city to having issues with coyotes. He explained a representative from the DNR was invited to attend the meeting to provide information to the Council regarding the coyote population and ways to maintain the safety of residents and domestic animals. He reiterated that the presentation was for informational purposes and that the Council would not be making any decisions with respect to wildlife management.

Bob Fashingbauer, DNR Area Wildlife Manager for Dakota County, stated there have been phone calls from almost every suburb throughout the state regarding coyotes. He explained that the coyotes have always been here and there has not necessarily been a significant influx in the number. He noted the DNR does not have a way to monitor the number of coyotes. He explained that usually what happens is one (1) or two (2) coyotes become habituated within the City limits because they do not feel threatened and become comfortable around humans. He noted once this happens the coyotes become more brazen and more visible. He stated one of the main reasons coyotes start to feel comfortable is because people feed them, sometimes intentionally. He noted once they coyotes become more visible in the community the problem is perceived to be much larger than it really is. He discussed the coyotes' diet and advised citizens not to leave food or garbage outdoors, whether it is intentional or not, because coyotes are very opportunistic. He explained the options for dealing with "problem" coyotes are ultimately up to the citizens and City Council. He stated killing individual coyotes does not often solve the problem because it becomes a constant effort to eradicate the animals and control the population. He referenced a study done in Denver in which hazing program instituted to manage the coyote problem. He noted that such a program requires a community-wide effort. He explained the premise of the program is to teach the coyotes to be afraid of humans again through what is called hazing. He identified the methods of hazing as: standing your ground when you encounter a coyote, waving your arms, shouting, or screaming at the coyote to make it fear you. He noted that after the hazing program was instituted in Denver the number of nuisance calls regarding coyotes decreased by approximately 80%. He stated there has never been a documented case of a coyote injuring a human in the state of Minnesota. He reiterated that the coyotes are going to be present in the community as long as there is park land or open space and they can be a good thing for the ecosystem if they are not allowed to become habituated. He stated the best thing a community can do is become educated on the topic and come to a consensus on how to handle the coyote population.

Mayor Tourville stated that nuisance calls should be directed to the Police Department so the information can be logged. He explained that as the information is reported a pattern may be detected over time that will allow the City to identify "problem" coyotes in specific areas.

Barb Brelje questioned how many reports have been about coyotes seen in residential backyards. She explained that she lives in a residential area and has seen coyotes in her neighbor's yards.

Mr. Fashingbauer indicated that most of the calls are from people who see a coyote while walking in a park or on a trail, not in backyards.

Ms. Brelje commented that she has three small dogs that are let out into a fenced yard. She questioned if coyotes can jump a fence.

Mr. Fashingbauer responded that coyotes are capable of jumping and climbing fences. He explained that

coyotes have become primarily nocturnal and advised that pets be supervised if they are outside at night. He stated that pet owners have to be more vigilant in terms of monitoring their pets and leaving food outside.

A resident of the Ashwood Ponds neighborhood stated she frequently observes coyotes that come right into people's yards during the day and will stay in the same spot for an hour and will not move. She noted the most they have seen at one time is five.

Mark Minske, 6435 Delilah Ave., stated he has coyotes in his yard and the neighborhood has lost five cats.

He opined that they are a nuisance and he would like to see them eradicated.

Kathy Alexander, 8504 Birch Blvd., stated she feels like she is being held hostage because she can no longer let her dog outside into her own backyard. She explained that she has an invisible fence and the coyotes come right up to her dog. She opined that she does not want to wait until someone gets bit to do something about the problem.

Mayor Tourville suggested that coyote updates could be posted on the City's website along with a link to the information from the DNR, and information on hazing.

Mr. Lynch explained he asked the Police Chief to work with the Parks and Recreation Director to come up with options regarding the management of the coyote population for the City Council to discuss and potentially pursue.

4. CONSENT AGENDA:

Citizen Ed Gunter removed Item 4H, Authorize a Maintenance Project on Dixie Avenue from the North Connection with Dickman Trail for approximately 418 LF, from the Consent Agenda.

- A. Minutes – September 27, 2010 Regular Council Meeting
- B. **Resolution No. 10-163** Approving Disbursements for Period Ending October 6, 2010
- C. Pay Voucher No. 16 for City Project No. 2008-18, Public Safety Addition/City Hall Renovation
- D. Pay Voucher No. 6 for City Project No. 2008-18, Public Safety Additon/City Hall Renovation – Low Voltage Contractors
- E. Pay Voucher No. 5 for City Project No. 2009-24, Rock Island Swing Bridge Project
- F. Final Pay Voucher No. 2, Engineer's Final Report and **Resolution No. 10-173** Accepting Work for City Project No. 2010-09A, Cracksealing
- G. Final Compensating Change Order No. 1, Final Pay Voucher No. 2, Engineer's Final Report and **Resolution No. 10-164** Accepting Work for City Project No. 2010-09B, Sealcoating
- I. **Resolution No. 10-165** Adopting a Policy Establishing Consultant Selection Process
- J. **Resolution No. 10-166** Declaring Costs to be Assessed & Ordering Preparation of Proposed Assessments, and **Resolution No. 10-167** Calling for Hearing on Special Assessments for 2010 Nuisance Abatement
- K. **Resolution No. 10-172** Accepting Landowner Improvements within City Easement Agreement, Restrictive Use Agreements and Temporary Construction Easement Agreement for Landowners as part of the 2010 Storm Water Facility Maintenance Program – City Project No. 2010-19
- L. **Resolution No. 10-171** Accepting Grant for the Rock Island Swing Bridge from the State of Minnesota Historical Society
- M. **Resolution No. 10-168** Waiving Remedy pertaining to Improvement Agreement with Short Properties, LLC
- N. **Resolution No. 10-169** Consenting to Assignment of Improvement Agreement, Stormwater Facilities Maintenance Agreement, and Permanent Easement Agreement for Drainage and Utility

related to Project of Flint Hills Resources, LP

- O. Accept Donation from Wal-Mart for the Inver Grove Heights Fire Department
- P. Approve Employee Out-of-State Travel Policy
- Q. Personnel Actions

Motion by Klein, second by Madden, to Approve the Consent Agenda

Ayes: 5

Nays: 0 Motion carried.

- H. Authorize a Maintenance Project on Dixie Avenue from the North Connection with Dickman Trail for approximately 418 LF

Ed Gunter, 6671 Concord, opined that based on the cost of the project all of Dixie Avenue should be done rather than half to save money in the future.

Mr. Lynch explained that the City surveyed the area to determine where the right-of-way existed after timbers were removed from the area and a drainage issue was observed. He stated the City proposed an improvement that would provide a better delineation of the traveled area and control storm water. He noted the reason a larger project area was not considered is because the area has been identified for potential redevelopment.

Frank Rauschnott, 6840 Dixie Avenue, asked that this project be stopped for the season. He commented that it would be outrageous to only do a portion of Dixie Avenue. He suggested that the section of Dixie Avenue be covered with a load of gravel to save money and then revisit the issue in the spring. He opined it is too late in the season to do the project because the millings would not harden properly and the trucks that come to his property would rip up the road.

Mr. Thureen stated that the City does need to do something to remediate the drainage issue. He explained that a private party removed timber ties that helped control the runoff. He stated the engineering department feels there is still enough time left in the season to do the project. He noted this approach was taken in an effort to avoid having to specially assess the residents for a more permanent solution.

Councilmember Madden asked if the sun was still strong enough to properly harden the surface.

Mr. Thureen explained the contractor indicated it is still early enough in the season to take this approach.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech questioned if the contractor offered a warranty for the work in the event that the trucks do tear up the surface.

Mr. Thureen responded that the quote did not include a warranty.

Councilmember Klein questioned if anything else could be done to address the drainage issue. He stated there has been gravel on the road forever and they are only looking for a temporary fix.

Mr. Thureen stated various options were considered and it was determined that this proposal was the best solution. He explained that once the timber ties were removed the runoff continued to sheet into the yards to the east.

Mr. Rauschnott stated the water is no longer draining into the yards to the east because ruts have formed that carry the water into his yard and out into the street. He suggested that the timber ties be replaced for the winter and the issue can be dealt with in the spring.

Mr. Kaldunski explained the contractor would use fresh millings that, when compacted, are very similar to new bituminous. He noted fresh pavement would be used along the edges of the road to form the berm that will control the drainage. He stated the end result of the project would essentially be a typical city boulevard. He explained the contractor has done this type of project many times and is confident it will not wash out.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech stated trucks frequently drive over curbs and asked if the curbs would be able to withstand that type of pressure.

Mr. Kaldunski explained they recommended using fresh, hot bituminous for the berms for the additional strength. He acknowledged that some damage could be done to the bituminous by trucks depending on what they are carrying. He stated a crown would also be put in to help direct the runoff.

Councilmember Klein opined that a concrete curb should be installed.

Motion by Grannis, second by Madden, to Authorize a Maintenance Project on Dixie Avenue from the North Connection with Dickman Trail for approximately 418 LF

Ayes: 3

Nays: 2 (Klein, Piekarski Krech) Motion carried.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS; Consider Application of Apple Minnesota, LLC dba Applebee's for an On-Sale/Sunday Intoxicating Liquor License for premises located at 5855 Blaine Avenue

Ms. Rheaume explained that all of the Applebee's locations in the State are in the process of being sold and a new liquor license application was submitted to reflect the change in corporate ownership. She stated the police department completed the background investigation and no basis for denial was found. She noted that the day-to-day operations of the restaurant would not be changed.

Mayor Tourville confirmed that the applicant provided the required proof of liquor liability insurance.

Motion by Madden, second by Klein, to close the public hearing.

Ayes: 5

Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Motion by Klein, second by Madden, to approve application of Apple Minnesota, LLC dba Applebee's Neighborhood Grill & Bar for an On-Sale/Sunday Intoxicating Liquor License for premises located at 5855 Blaine Avenue.

Ayes: 5

Nays: 0 Motion carried.

7. REGULAR AGENDA:

PARKS AND RECREATION:

A. CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS; Discuss Siltation Issues

Mr. Carlson provided background information on the history of the Rock Island Swing Bridge project. He stated on July 28, 2010 a letter was received from River Heights Marina expressing concern that the construction methods used on the project had created a siltation issue in their marina. He noted the letter also indicated that the marina had not experienced siltation issues since the Harms' took ownership in 1991. He stated on August 24, 2010 the City responded to the marina's claim with the opinion that the siltation issues were not caused by the construction project. He explained following a meeting held on the temporary causeway on September 8, 2010 the Army Corps of Engineers sent a letter to the City stating at the conclusion of the project the causeway would need to be removed from the site and the river bottom restored to pre-existing elevations as required by the City's permit for the project. The letter also stated that without a detailed study of the river reach at the location it would be difficult to point to a specific cause of siltation, fluctuations in scouring and deposition rates are common in years with higher than normal flow events, and the boat launch area is located downstream of a series of boat docks which would reduce flows and be a source of siltation. He explained an email was received from the DNR indicating that no permit was issued for the dredging done in 2005, and it would be both difficult and costly to determine what the various sources of sediment are in this reach of the river and to demonstrate a cause and effect relationship.

Mr. Carlson explained that residents along River Road have also expressed concerns regarding the same issue and have signed a petition asking the City to accept responsibility for the siltation problem. He stated the residents claimed that their backwater channel has been affected by the construction project and that siltation has occurred because of it. He noted the DNR indicated these residents have had on-going issues with siltation of the backwater area over the last several years. He reiterated that it is believed that neither the construction project nor the methods used to construct the project have had an adverse impact on siltation.

Councilmember Klein questioned if any soundings were taken.

Mr. Carlson responded the only soundings the City is aware of were done in November 2007 by Parson Brinckerhoff while working for Washington and Dakota Counties. He reviewed the findings of the survey done on the river bottom, which included the areas 500 feet north of the bridge and 300 feet south of the bridge.

Joe Harms, River Heights Marina, referenced a letter issued by the Army Corps of Engineers on Friday, October 8th, indicating that they would be reassessing the situation due to new information that was brought to their attention. He stated they have been in business for 20 years and never experienced siltation issues until now. He questioned why soundings were not taken by the contractor before the project began and disputed the 2007 soundings that were referenced. He showed pictures of the barge that was put up by the contractor for the project and opined that the barge interrupted the flow of the river through the causeway. He noted the dredging done at the marina in 2005 was not for maintenance purposes. He reiterated that the marina has not had siltation problems in the 20 years they have been in business and expressed discontent that their regular business operations would have been interrupted because of the issue if not for the high water levels.

Paul Harms, River Heights Marina, stated he wanted to clarify some of the information from the DNR and Army Corps of Engineers. He stated he has monitored the location in question since 1975 and explained that the Corps of Engineers built wing dams to divert water from the back channel to the main channel. He referenced the floods of 1993, 1997, and 2001 and indicated that the marina never experienced a siltation problem due to the characteristics of the river. He noted that neither the Corps of Engineers nor the DNR understood this information. He stated in 2008 a floodway analysis was done, at normal pool, and the results showed that River Heights Marina had 6.5 feet of water at a site approximately 150 feet from the Rock Island Swing Bridge. He explained in 2005 the marina upgraded its conditional use permit to take into account transient dockage and the dockage for a specific cruise boat. He stated the marina layout plan that was submitted for approval referenced a specific benchmark, set by the Corps of Engineers. He noted that everything that is done at the marina is based on that benchmark. He opined that the permit applications for the project were not completed correctly because it is not clear what is being handled and it states that the project does not cover 10,000 square feet, thereby negating the need to hold a public hearing and notify the adjoining property owners. He questioned how the City will be able to restore the river bed to its original depth if soundings were not taken before, during, or after the project.

Mr. Carlson responded that the City's engineer for the project, SEH, Inc., does have the depths of the river recorded on the plans that were submitted for the overall planning of the project.

Councilmember Grannis questioned who took the measurements.

Mr. Carlson responded that SEH, Inc. took the measurements while they were on-site.

Howard Harvey, 7515 River Road, stated he represents the residents from River Road. He opined that the engineer for the project should not have taken the soundings and that a third party should have been brought in to take the measurements. He questioned the soundings that were taken in November of 2007 and opined that the measurements may not be accurate because there is often ice on the river at that time. He also noted that the soundings could be inaccurate because the Corps of Engineers draws the water down below its normal pool every fall in anticipation of flooding. He stated he has lived on the river for twenty years and the north end of the channel has never silted in. He explained that 200 feet of the channel was blocked off throughout the summer by the contractor's barges and noted that in the summer of 2009 the back channel had 10-12 feet of water and in 2010 the same area had 4-5 feet. He stated the

issue needs to be addressed immediately. He provided a petition from the residents of River Road asking the City to provide specific relief to correct the problems identified.

Mayor Tourville reiterated that the most recent letter from the Army Corps of Engineers indicated that more information was being gathered to further analyze the situation.

Peter Border, River Road resident, provided background information regarding the ability of the river to carry sediment in relation to its velocity. He stated that it is apparent that the construction of the temporary causeway diverted the flow of normal high spring flood water from the marina channel out into main channel. He indicated he has lived on the river for 16 years and has never had this issue.

Ron Repke, 6866 River Road, stated there has been no maintenance for the last 15 years. He explained that spreads out and affects the channel.

Frank Rauschnott, 6840 Dixie Avenue, suggested that the City put money towards dredging the river rather than studies.

Ed Gunter, 6671 Concord, commented that in the 1940's and early 1960's boats were not traveling through the channel and noted at one point you could walk across the channel to the islands on the other side. He stated over the last 20 years people have done things to the river that have helped create the problem. He opined that the issue is not the City's problem because it cannot control the water in the river. He stated that everyone should let nature do what it is supposed to do.

Councilmember Madden stated he would like to wait to have further discussion on the issue until they get the new information from the analysis being done by the Army Corps of Engineers.

Mayor Tourville stated the item would be placed on a future agenda after the Corps completes its analysis.

No action was taken on this item.

The City Council took a five minute break.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT:

B. CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS; Consider the First Reading of an Ordinance Regulating Outdoor Wood Burners/Boilers

Mr. Link explained the City Council directed the Planning Commission to hold a public hearing to consider either the prohibition of outdoor wood burners/boilers or the regulation of outdoor wood burners/boilers through the establishment of performance standards. He stated the City Council discussed the issue at three work sessions over the spring, and passed a moratorium on the construction of outdoor wood burners/boilers within the City to permit time to further analyze their regulation. He noted the proposed performance standards would only apply to outdoor wood burners/boilers and not indoor wood stoves, fireplaces or fire pits. He stated there are currently six outdoor wood burners/boilers in the City.

Mr. Link explained the Council's first option would be to prohibit future outdoor wood burners/boilers and regulate the existing outdoor wood burners/boilers with performance standards that would include smoke stack height, hours of operation, and types of materials being burned. He stated the Council's second option would be to adopt an ordinance that would prohibit future and existing outdoor wood burners/boilers.

Mr. Link explained there was a general consensus amongst staff that future outdoor wood burners/boilers should be prohibited, however no consensus was reached regarding a recommendation for existing outdoor wood burners/boilers. He stated there are six known outdoor wood burners/boilers in the City and planning staff believes they should be considered a legal non-conformity as long as they comply with approved performance standards. He noted the City Administrator recommended the prohibition of both future and existing outdoor wood burners/boilers because they constitute a nuisance and even with performance standards there would be continual complaints with no enforcement solutions. He explained the Planning Commission recommended that all future outdoor wood burners/boilers be banned based on the fact they have been determined to be a public nuisance. He stated the Planning Commission was unable to provide a recommendation regarding how to address existing outdoor wood burners/boilers. He reiterated that both options would ban future outdoor wood burners/boilers.

Ms. Julie Melem attended to represent “Take Back the Air”, an organization concerned with the health effects of smoke from outdoor wood burners/boilers on people, animals, and the environment. She opined that continuing to allow the operation of outdoor wood burners/boilers would be similar to allowing people to smoke indoors despite restrictions on such activity. She referenced several law suits against manufacturers of outdoor wood burners/boilers that claim they are harmful to people because of their toxic emissions. She opined that the regulation of smoke stack height or setback distance will not make the smoke disappear and may disperse it farther onto another property. She stated when wood smoke is in the air it far exceeds state and federal EPA standards. She referenced various health conditions associated with the inhalation of wood smoke. She commented that smoke from outdoor wood burners/boilers is a violation of property rights. She asked that the City Council ban future and existing outdoor wood burners/boilers to ensure a healthy community for all.

Jeff Andresen, 4675 138th Street, Apple Valley, supported the proposed ordinance that would ban all future and existing outdoor wood burners/boilers. He stated the proposed performance standards appeared arbitrary as there was no justification from scientific or engineering studies to demonstrate that the performance standards would work. He opined if the performance standards are unable to be enforced the Council should not adopt them.

David Gaydos, 11660 Albavar Path, stated he lives two doors away from an outdoor wood burner. He communicated his belief that the smoke emitted from the outdoor burner is a health hazard. He explained he understands the investment people have put into their burners and feels that the health impacts outweigh the cost savings. He stated his home has lost value because of the presence of the burner two homes away from his.

Donald Frost, 7132 Ballard Trail, stated the correct choice is so obvious and a debate is no longer useful. He opined that the health implications need to be addressed. He urged the Council to prohibit all future and existing outdoor wood burners/boilers to make the City a better place to live.

Barbara Johnson, “Take Back the Air”, discussed health hazards and property rights. She opined that smoke from an outdoor wood burner/boiler is a form of chemical trespass. She stated the smoke prevents neighbors from enjoying their property. She noted she does not see how the Council could do anything other than ban the usage of outdoor wood burners/boilers. She opined that this is a quality of life issue.

Don Henk, 11325 Albavar Path, reiterated the health concerns previously mentioned. He commented that the primary reason people have the outdoor wood burner/boiler is to save money and because of its existence his property value has decreased and he has lost money as a result.

Richard Larson, 7038 Angus Avenue, stated he owns one of the six wood burners in the City. He discussed the proposed performance standards and opined that he is not certain the stack height regulation would be feasible. He noted the other standards appear to be common sense. He commented that his unit has been approved by the EPA. He stated the existing owners deserve more consideration. He explained he is on a fixed income and the whole idea behind having the unit is to save money.

Mayor Tourville asked Mr. Larson for an estimate of how much money he saves.

Mr. Larson responded approximately \$3,000.

Mayor Tourville asked how much Mr. Larson spends on fuel oil.

Mr. Larson responded between \$400 and \$600. He added that he obtained signatures from his neighbors attesting to the fact that they do not have a problem with his unit.

Armando Lissarague, 11730 Albavar Path, discussed studies that have been done on the health effects of the smoke emitted from outdoor wood burners/boilers. He stated this is a health issue. He explained there are three outdoor wood burners within miles of his home. He questioned if the cost savings were more important than the health of the residents of the community. He stated he is being denied the right to enjoy his property. He asked the council to ban all future and existing outdoor wood burners/boilers because they do not promote the health and safety of the community.

Sandra Larson, 7038 Angus Ave., stated she has had asthma since she was a child and her wood burner has not affected her condition. She noted that they cannot smell the wood burner inside of their house suggested that residents could find ways to improve the insulation of their windows if smoke is seeping into their homes. She reiterated that their wood burner meets all federal EPA requirements. She noted asking them to get rid of their unit would be a tremendous financial hardship.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech clarified that the proposed ordinance did not apply to indoor burners.

Mayor Tourville stated if the unit is indoors it is not a part of the ordinance.

Mr. Kuntz questioned if the Councilmember Piekarski Krech was referring to the outdoor sheds that have the unit inside of it.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech responded in the affirmative and stated she wants to make sure residents understand what is legal and what is included in the ordinance.

Mr. Link clarified the proposed ordinance would only pertain to outdoor structures. He indicated staff could research the type of unit referenced and bring additional information to the Council at the second reading.

Armando Lissarague, 11730 Albavar Path, asked if there are any existing outdoor boilers that burn anything but wood.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech stated there are units that burn corn.

Motion by Klein, second by Madden, to adopt the first reading of an Ordinance declaring outdoor burners/ boilers to be nuisances, prohibiting construction of new outdoor burners/boilers, prohibiting the use and operation of existing outdoor burners/boilers, and declaring new and existing outdoor burners/boilers used or operated from and after October 1, 2011 to be nuisances.

Ayes: 5

Nays: 0 Motion carried.

C. PDB AUTOCARE, LLC; Consider a Resolution relating to a Conditional Use Permit Amendment to Amend the Site Plan allowing for an Addition to the Existing Building for property located at 6466 Cahill Avenue

Mr. Link stated the property is located on the northeast corner of Cahill Avenue and 65th Street. He explained the request is for a conditional use permit amendment to amend the site plan to allow for an addition to the existing building. He noted the addition would match the architecture of the existing building. He stated both planning staff and the Planning Commission recommended approval.

Motion by Klein, second by Piekarski Krech, to adopt Resolution No. 10-170 approving a Conditional Use Permit Amendment to Amend the Site Plan allowing for an Addition to the Existing Building for property located at 6466 Cahill Avenue

Ayes: 5

Nays: 0 Motion carried.

D. CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS; Consider Second Reading of an Ordinance Establishing Minimum Requirements for the Regulation of Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Mr. Link stated this is the second reading of an ordinance primarily related to adopting state and county requirements. He noted the updates are mandated. He reviewed the suggested changes that go beyond state and county regulations. He stated planning staff recommended approval.

Motion by Madden, second by Piekarski Krech, to approve the Second Reading of an Ordinance Establishing Minimum Requirements for the Regulation of Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Ayes: 5

Nays: 0 Motion carried.

8. MAYOR & COUNCIL COMMENTS: None.

9. ADJOURN: Motion by Grannis, second by Madden, to adjourn. The meeting was adjourned by a unanimous vote at 10:50 p.m.