

**INVER GROVE HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
MONDAY, JUNE 11, 2012 - 8150 BARBARA AVENUE**

**CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL** The City Council of Inver Grove Heights met in regular session on Monday, June 11, 2012, in the City Council Chambers. Mayor Tourville called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. Present were Council members Grannis, Klein, Madden, and Piekarski Krech; City Administrator Lynch, Assistant City Administrator Teppen, City Attorney Kuntz, Public Works Director Thureen, Parks & Recreation Director Carlson, Community Development Director Link, Finance Director Smith, Police Chief Stanger, Fire Chief Thill and Deputy Clerk Kennedy

**3. PRESENTATIONS:**

**A. Police Reserve Officer Recognition**

Chief Stanger recognized Ken Wood, Rob Reitberger, and Tim Wood, citizen volunteers who recently retired from the Police Reserve program. The Reserve program is comprised of citizen volunteers who assist the full time, licensed peace officers in carrying out the functions of the Inver Grove Heights Police Department. Mr. Stanger explained by definition they are individuals whose services are utilized by a law enforcement agency to provide supplementary assistance at special events, traffic and crowd control, and administrative or clerical assistance. He noted the Reserve unit is not a man power alternative and it is not depended on as a substitute for fully licensed and trained police officer. He stated the members of the Reserve unit are ordinary citizens who volunteer their time and give back to the community in which they live in.

Chief Stanger introduced Tim Wood, a member of the Reserve unit since 1995 who volunteered over 4,500 hours to the program; Rob Reitberger, a member of the Reserve unit since 1997 who volunteered over 2100 hours; and Ken Wood, a member of the Reserve unit since 1999 who volunteered over 2,200 hours to the program. He thanked them for their years of service and for giving back to the community.

Sergeant Daniels presented Tim, Rob and Ken with plaques in recognition of their service to the Inver Grove Heights Police Department. On behalf of all the officers in the department he thanked them for their work and for making their jobs easier.

Mayor Tourville and the City Council thanked Tim, Rob and Ken for their time and dedication to the City.

**4. CONSENT AGENDA:**

Councilmember Klein removed Item 4C from the Consent Agenda.

Mayor Tourville removed Item 4I from the Consent Agenda.

- A. i) Minutes – May 29, 2012 Council Work Session  
ii) Minutes – May 29, 2012 Regular Council Meeting
- B. **Resolution No. 12-92** Approving Disbursements for Period Ending June 6, 2012
- D. Approve Ordering a Topographic Survey for City Project No. 2012-07, Bohrer Pond Northwest Treatment Basin
- E. Authorize Proposal by EOR to Review Regional Basin Impacts and Conduct a Roundabout Assessment on Storm Water Facilities and Conduct a Topographical Survey at T.H. 3 and Amana Trail – City Project No. 2009-01
- F. **Resolution No. 12-94** Correcting Assessment Roll for the 2011 Pavement Management Program, City Project No. 2011-09D, Urban Street Reconstruction (South Grove Area 6)
- G. **Resolution No. 12-95** Authorizing the Execution of the Declaration of Conditions and Restrictions on Skyview Park Property
- H. **Resolution No. 12-96** Approving Contract for Services Agreement with ISD 199 to provide a School Resource Officer for the 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 School Years
- J. **Resolution No. 12-97** Approving Proposals for Professional Services for the Concord Boulevard

Neighborhood Study

**K. Personnel Actions**

**Motion by Madden, second by Klein, to approve the Consent Agenda**

**Ayes: 5**

**Nays: 0      Motion carried.**

**C. Resolution Accepting the MS4 Annual Report for 2011**

Councilmember Klein asked for Mr. Kaldunski to provide a brief explanation on the program.

Mr. Kaldunski explained the permit was mandated by the MPCA. The program is for storm water management and the report presented to the Council outlines the items the City accomplished in 2011.

Councilmember Klein stated the mandates require additional work and the City incurs additional costs as a result.

**Motion by Klein, second by Piekarski Krech, to adopt Resolution No. 12-93 Accepting the MS4 Annual Report for 2011**

**Ayes: 5**

**Nays: 0      Motion carried.**

**I. Authorize Advertisement of RFP for Demolition of City Owned Structures located at 7456 South Robert Trail and 1467 80<sup>th</sup> Street East**

Mayor Tourville asked for a brief update on the issue.

Mr. Lynch stated the City acquired both properties in 2008 as part of the utility extension projects. The properties have remained vacant since that time, although the City did utilize the property on 80<sup>th</sup> Street for temporary storage during the renovation of City Hall. He noted utilities were disconnected for both properties. He explained the City would improve the property on 80<sup>th</sup> to improve the storm water runoff at the golf course and potentially tie in with a future multi-family development immediately to the West. He stated it would no longer be suitable as a single-family residence. The property on South Robert Trail is being utilized as a transition site for the contractor constructing the turn lane. He stated the Fire Department would like to utilize the buildings for training purposes prior to demolition. He noted the Housing Committee questioned if the structures could be relocated and at this point staff did not feel that was a viable option given the poor condition of the structures.

Jim Zentner, Housing Committee Chair, stated it was originally thought that the two (2) structures could be relocated and offered as options for affordable housing. He explained after Mr. Lynch explained the poor condition of each of the structures, the Committee no longer disagreed with the City's intent to demolish the structures. He noted the City should consider adopting a policy that addresses similar situations in the future for structures that could be salvaged. He asked that the Council allow the Housing Committee to develop a policy of that nature as part of the group's adopted work plan.

**Motion by Klein, second by Madden, to authorize advertisement of RFP for Demolition of City Owned Structures located at 7456 South Robert Trail and 1467 80<sup>th</sup> Street East**

**Ayes: 5**

**Nays: 0      Motion carried.**

**5. PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Al Sachwitz, 11105 Courthouse Blvd., stated he lives next door to Heavy Metal Truck Driving School. He expressed noise concerns and questioned what the business' hours of operation were.

Mr. Link explained there typically are no restrictions on hours of operation in the industrial district. He noted staff could research the issue further to determine if any restrictions existed.

**6. PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

**A. CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS;** Continuation of Assessment Hearing for City Project No. 2001-12, Concord Boulevard Reconstruction Phase II

Mr. Kaldunski explained the property owner requested that the item be tabled because he was unable to attend the public hearing.

Mayor Tourville asked staff to notify the property owner that if he cannot attend the meeting on the 25<sup>th</sup> to have a representative at the meeting because the Council will likely take action at that time.

**Motion by Klein, second by Madden, to table consideration of the item to June 25, 2012 at the request of the property owner**

**Ayes: 5**

**Nays: 0      Motion carried.**

**B. CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS;** Approve Change in Corporate Officer for On-Sale/Sunday Liquor License held by Grove Bowl, Inc. dba Drkula's "32" Bowl for premises located at 6710 Cahill Ave.

Mayor Tourville explained that Gary Drkula's name would be removed from the liquor license and Tim Drkula would be the sole officer of the corporation moving forward.

**Motion by Klein, second by Madden, to close the public hearing.**

**Ayes: 5**

**Nays: 0      Motion carried.**

**Motion by Madden, second by Piekarski Krech, to approve change in corporate officer for On-Sale/Sunday Intoxicating Liquor License held by Grove Bowl, Inc. dba Drkula's "32" Bowl for premises located at 6710 Cahill Ave.**

**Ayes: 5**

**Nays: 0      Motion carried.**

**7. REGULAR AGENDA:**

**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT:**

**A. SHAW CONSTRUCTION, INC.;** Consider the following actions for property located at 10982 Clark Road:

- i) Ordinance Amendment to allow service of semi-tanks, trucks, and trailers including equipment, parts and tires as a conditional use in the I-2, General Industry Zoning District
- ii) Resolution relating to a Conditional Use Permit to allow the operation of the sales and service of semi-tanks, trucks, and trailers including equipment, parts and tires and to allow outdoor storage of trucks and trailers
- iii) Resolution relating to a Variance from the outdoor storage setback and screening requirements from an Agricultural Zoning District

Mr. Link reviewed the location of the property. He explained the request involves the development of a truck service and repair facility for Catco, a business that provides full service repair and parts supply for commercial vehicles. The first phase would consist of a building approximately 20,000 square feet in size and a potential future addition of another 17,000 square feet. The project complied with City Code standards except for setback and screening requirements. He explained a 100 foot setback from the residential property was required by ordinance, and the proposal only allows for a 40 foot setback. Screening along the property line was also required by ordinance and the applicant did not propose any screening. He explained the three (3) residences near the property are to be converted in the future to an industrial use to be consistent with the rest of the neighborhood. He noted the 100 foot restriction was unique in that it is only required for industrial open storage, not all industrial properties. Both Planning staff and the Planning Commission recommended approval of the requests.

Councilmember Klein asked if the neighbors were notified.

Mr. Link stated the Planning Commission held a public hearing and two (2) of the neighbors were in attendance and did not object to the proposal.

Councilmember Madden confirmed that the neighbors did not request screening.

**Motion by Klein, second by Grannis, to adopt Ordinance No. 1254 amending the City Code to allow service of semi-tanks, trucks, and trailers including equipment, parts and tires as a conditional use in the I-2 General Industry Zoning District, Resolution No. 12-98 relating to a Conditional Use Permit to allow the operation of the sales and service of semi-tanks, trucks, and trailers including equipment, parts and tires and to allow outdoor storage of trucks and trailers, and Resolution No. 12-99 relating to a Variance from the outdoor storage setback and screening requirements from an Agricultural Zoning District**

**Ayes: 5**

**Nays: 0      Motion carried.**

**B. VANCE GRANNIS, JR.;** Consider the First Reading of an Ordinance Amendment to allow a DNR Gun Safety Program with Outdoor Shooting Range

Mr. Link explained the applicant submitted an application to amend the Zoning Ordinance to allow a DNR sponsored gun safety training program with an outdoor shooting range as a permitted use on properties zoned E-1 with certain conditions. One of the conditions would be that the DNR only operate the program on six (6) occasions annually. He stated the property provides a great deal of topographical relief and inherent safeguards that would allow the shooting range to face into a 50 foot hillside. The Police Chief inspected the site and found no significant safety concerns given the proposed location of the range. Planning staff supported the ordinance amendment as either a conditional use or an interim use. He explained the rationale for each of the staff recommended use designations. An advantage of an interim use is the opportunity to place limitations on the operation and treat it as a trial run that could be reviewed after an established period of time. As a conditional or interim use operational issues such as parking, public use of private buildings, or restrooms could be monitored. He explained if the City Council decided to make the use interim or conditional a public hearing would be required and surrounding property owners would be formally notified.

Mr. Link stated the Planning Commission held a public hearing at their last meeting and three issues were identified. The first related to noise associated with the discharge of fire arms, the second related to the question of appropriate use designation (interim, conditional, or permitted), and the third related to notification of surrounding property owners. He explained a main concern pertaining to notification was the extent of the mailing list given the 1,000 foot radius notification area. The number of property owners to be notified would largely depend on how the 50 acre parcel was defined. Staff reviewed the options and determined that the mailing list would likely consist of 35-40 property owners. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the ordinance amendment to allow the use as a permitted use with the condition that prior to City Council approval a noise demonstration be held on the property by the Police Chief. He noted although a public hearing was held in front of the Planning Commission, no notices were mailed because it was not required. Planning staff recommended the use as an interim use, while the Planning Commission recommended approval as a permitted use. He explained if Council were to proceed with the zoning code amendment, the Council would also have to amend the City's firearms ordinance.

Mayor Tourville questioned if the Council felt more notification was necessary.

Councilmember Madden stated three (3) readings of the ordinance amendment would be held and the Council may make decisions as part of the first reading that would affect the notification requirements going forward. He reiterated that formal notification would not be required if the request is approved as a permitted use.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech clarified if the ordinance is approved as an interim use, notification would be required at that point in time.

Mr. Link explained as a zoning ordinance amendment no mailed notices were required. If Council were to approve the request as a conditional or interim use, the applicant would need to submit an application for said permit and the notification requirement would then be triggered.

Mr. Kuntz stated the Council would need to deal with two separate regulatory functions. The zoning ordinance amendment would determine in what zoning district the proposed use would be allowed, and the recommendation is that it be allowed in the E-1 zoning district. He explained the Council is also being asked to determine if the use is allowed in the E-1 zoning district, would it be allowed as a permitted, conditional, or an interim use. For each type of use, certain conditions were suggested for consideration. After the Council has provided direction as to the classification of the use, a separate ordinance amendment would be brought forth regarding the discharge of firearms. He reiterated that the zoning ordinance amendment was the subject of a public hearing before the Planning Commission for which only published notice was required. He noted no mailed notice is required for any text amendment to the zoning code. If the Council amends the zoning code to allow the proposed use as a permitted use, no application for a permit would be required. If the Council amends the zoning code to allow the proposed use as a conditional or interim use, an application would need to be filed for the required permit and a public hearing would be scheduled for which both published and mailed notice would be required.

Councilmember Klein questioned how the City allowed annual Canada Goose hunts.

Mr. Kuntz explained that scenario became an exception to the firearms discharge ordinance as a City authorized event under the guidelines set forth by the Police Chief and City Administrator.

Councilmember Klein questioned how the Police Chief monitored that event.

Chief Stanger stated applications are accepted on an annual basis, and a police officer is sent out to review the location to ensure its safety. He noted officers also monitor the event on the three (3) weekends the City allows the hunt to occur.

Councilmember Klein questioned if an officer could be assigned to monitor the activity of the safety programs.

Chief Stanger stated safety officers would be on-site as part of the DNR sponsored program.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech clarified that the City typically would not issue mailed notices to property owners for this type of ordinance amendment.

Mr. Link responded in the affirmative. He stated the zoning ordinance amendment is a text amendment that theoretically could affect all properties in the E-1 zoning district. He noted the manner in which this particular ordinance was drafted and the specific parameters that were defined limit the application of the ordinance specifically to the Grannis property.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech stated Council would set a precedent if a mailed notice were issued for this type of ordinance amendment.

Councilmember Madden stated the proposed program is administered under very controlled conditions, in a very specific environment. He clarified that participants are only allowed to use .22 caliber weapons and would not be roaming the property with loaded weapons.

Vance Grannis Jr., 9249 Barnes Ave., stated the Planning Commission recommended that the Council direct the Police Department to host a demonstration on the property to determine if there would be any noise concerns. He suggested that Council authorize the demonstration and then decide how to proceed with the ordinance amendment after the noise concerns have been addressed.

Louis Greengard, 1780 93<sup>rd</sup> Circle E., stated he lives near the Grannis property and is opposed to the request because he does not want to hear gunshots.

Barry Leafman, 1900 96<sup>th</sup> St. E., expressed concerns about public safety and the process that was followed. He stated he did not see the need for this type of program in the City. He questioned if there was a need for additional firing range in the County. He opined that notification was lacking and questioned why the whole community would not need to be notified if the firearms ordinance is amended.

Mr. Kuntz explained notice, mailed or published, of an amendment to the firearms ordinance would not be required. The Council would hold three readings of the proposed ordinance amendment and would allow for public input during that process.

Mr. Leafman questioned what the benefit of the program would be to the City, who would carry the liability insurance for the program, and what the coverage limits would be.

Jim Huffman, 4237 Denton Way, supported the proposed ordinance amendment because the Police Department and the DNR would do a good job of administering the program in a safe, controlled manner.

Derek McIntyre, 1435 96<sup>th</sup> St. E., opined information about the ordinance amendment should have been communicated to the neighbors. He stated he does not want to hear the noise of guns being fired from his property and felt that an interim period of 3-5 years was too long. He noted he would be open to attending a demonstration on the property to determine if there would be a noise concern or not.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech stated there is a difference between a firing range and what is being proposed. She explained the DNR sponsored program would take place six (6) times a year on set dates and times, and actual shooting of the .22 caliber rifles would take place for one-hour during each of the six (6) meetings. She added the purpose of the program is to teach gun safety.

Ed Valenti, 9047 Barnes Ave., supported the proposed request and felt it was a great idea to promote gun safety in the community.

Roxanne Boehmer, 9290 Barnes Ave., spoke in favor of the proposed request. She stated she understood the noise concerns but felt they were not warranted as the noise from a .22 rifle would be no more of a nuisance than the neighbor's roosters or planes flying overhead.

Allan Cederberg, 1162 82<sup>nd</sup> St. E., stated he received a public safety certificate from the DNR. He opined that the firearms ordinance should be amended prior to the zoning code. He questioned if the DNR could carry insurance on private property.

Alex Gutierrez, 2<sup>nd</sup> Lieutenant DNR Enforcement Division, explained because the DNR is a state agency, the state actually carries the liability insurance for the program. He stated the policy limits were set up for 1 million and 1.5 million and it covers landowners, private properties, and everyone involved as long as it is a DNR administered class. He clarified that meant the class had to be administered by DNR certified instructors and posted on the DNR website. He acknowledged that the DNR does not have enough sites to provide fire arms safety classes for the public. In 2011 the DNR was only able to provide 300 classes with a cap of 30 students in each class and fell short of the number of students signing up to take the class online. He reviewed the aspects of the field training component of the program and reiterated that the request is not for a gun range, it is for a firearm safety program. He clarified the program is not just for hunters.

Mayor Tourville stated the main concern of the neighbors is related to noise.

#### **The City Council took a 5 minute break.**

Lieutenant Gutierrez displayed a video of himself firing a .22 caliber long rifle, a 12-gauge shotgun, and a 308 rifle at 50 yards and 100 yards to provide a frame of reference for the noise level that could be anticipated and to show the difference between the types of firearms. He stated he did not believe there would be any issues related to noise. He noted if there were concerns after the demonstration on the property, alternatives could be considered although they would be more expensive.

Eileen Nye, 1900 96<sup>th</sup> St. E., questioned how the topography of the Grannis property compared to area the DNR officer utilized to record the video he presented.

Lieutenant Gutierrez reviewed an aerial photograph of the Grannis property and pointed out the exact location that the range was proposed to be located, with the corresponding elevations. He stated the area was heavily wooded and the nearest homes were approximately ½ mile away.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech questioned if the proposed use would bother the eagles that nest around the property.

Lieutenant Gutierrez responded in the negative.

Grant Pylkas, 1885 96<sup>th</sup> St. E., supported the proposed use and opined that what Mr. Grannis was trying to accomplish was a noble cause that would benefit more people than just Inver Grove Heights residents. He stated if anyone was going to be affected by noise it would be him and he had no issue with the proposal.

Councilmember Madden stated that a lot of the issues could be resolved if the Police Department and the DNR scheduled the recommended noise demonstration on the property and notified the neighbors of when the demonstration would occur to see if there were any legitimate concerns regarding noise. He suggested that the demonstration be held prior to the second reading of the ordinance amendment.

Mayor Tourville stated the question still remains of who should be notified. He suggested that staff work with Mr. Grannis to define the property lines of the 50 acre parcel in order to determine the notification radius. He opined that he would support moving forward with the request as an interim use to allow for a period of review.

Councilmember Madden opined the use should be permitted.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech questioned what criteria would be used to determine who would receive formal notification of the demonstration.

Councilmember Madden requested that the notification process not be more cumbersome than it needs to be.

Mr. Grannis clarified that his property is over 150 acres. He stated if the City only looked at the area where the proposed use would be located it is not 50 acres by itself, but is located within a 50 acre area. He opined that someone, other than himself, needs to determine how the area will be defined for notification purposes. He asked that the notice of the demonstration be very specific with regards to where the demonstration would be held so neighbors know exactly where the shooting would occur.

Councilmember Klein clarified that the range would face the northeast and the elevation from where the shooting would occur increased by approximately 100 feet to where the targets would be located. He questioned if there were any neighbors within 900 feet of the direction in which the shooting would occur.

Mr. Grannis responded in the negative.

Councilmember Klein questioned what the effective range of a .22 rifle was.

Mr. Grannis explained the range could be up to a mile if it is shot in a wide open area with no impediments. He stated in this instance participants would be shooting towards a hill that would act as a backstop.

Lieutenant Gutierrez stated the value of the property is that all components of the training program can be accomplished on-site, including the actual shooting. He noted only the field training component can be done at most sites and the shooting has to be done at a separate location.

Councilmember Madden stated the purpose of the program is to educate people and reduce the number of accidents that occur with firearms.

Mayor Tourville questioned if there were any environmental impacts related to shooting rounds into the property and if a clean-up component should be required.

Lieutenant Gutierrez stated when the projectile hits the ground it is encapsulated in the dirt and the lead does not leak out of the bullet itself because it does not fragment. He explained one of the recommendations is that when the program is over the first 6" of topsoil can be removed to clean up the site. He stated he suggested that a rubber backstop be installed on the property to trap the bullets and reduce the number that becomes encapsulated in the soil.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech questioned how soon a sound demonstration could be scheduled.

Mr. Link suggested that the second reading be scheduled for the first meeting in July to coordinate the demonstration, define the notification area, and prepare a mailing list. He explained the ordinance refers to a 50 acre area and staff estimated that there were 35-40 residents within 1,000 feet and approximately 60 residents within a ½ mile radius.

Lieutenant Gutierrez recommended that the demonstration be videotaped and that those neighbors unable to attend at the proposed site be asked to be outside on their own property during the time of the demonstration to determine if they can hear any noise.

Greg Sperl, 1705 93<sup>rd</sup> Circle E., stated his main concern was the use of the rubber backstop and bullets bouncing off of it and going into the ground.

Mr. Grannis clarified that the rubber backstop is designed to trap the bullets so they don't bounce off. He stated he was told that it would take 3-4 years to fill one of the backstops and then it would be disposed of and replaced.

Tim Sweeney, 9223 Barnes Ave., recommended that the City offer neighbors a comparison of relative sounds that would be similar to that of a .22 rifle so that people have a frame of reference for what the actual noise level would be. He stated the noise would not be any more burdensome than what people in that area already experience on a daily basis from airplanes.

Lee Lindberg, 8965 Alfa Lane, stated his son's residence is the closest to the proposed location of the range. He opined that there was a contradiction in that the proposed use and the attempt to preserve and enhance the property as a wildlife habitat. He stated it doesn't take much to disturb wildlife and he supported the regulations against the discharge of firearms within the City. He explained the proposal was diametrically opposed to what he and Mr. Grannis are trying to promote and create in this area of the City with the preservation of open space, wildlife habitat, water aquifer recharge, and a reduced carbon footprint. He encouraged the Council to follow the recommendation by staff regarding notification of neighbors.

Councilmember Madden suggested notifying residents within a ½ mile radius, as determined by staff.

Mayor Tourville opined that he has a hard time determining how a shooting range fits in the proposed location given what Mr. Grannis and others are trying to accomplish in terms of preservation of the open space and the pristine nature of the property.

Councilmember Madden stated the program would only take place six (6) times a year and the good that would come from education related to the safe use of firearms would outweigh any potential negative impacts. He noted the DNR is very environmentally conscious.

Councilmember Klein questioned which designation the applicant preferred.

Mr. Grannis stated he would prefer that it be a permitted use, with a sunset date incorporated, in order to keep the expense down.

Councilmember Piekarski Krech noted that the use could be terminated at any point by the Police Chief.

Mayor Tourville clarified that the Police Chief is not going to be involved in noise issues. Staff suggested an interim use to allow for some conditions and checks and balances to be instituted.

**Motion by Klein, second by Madden, to approve the First Reading of an Ordinance Amendment to allow a DNR Gun Safety Program with Outdoor Shooting Range as an Interim Use and to direct staff to coordinate a test demonstration on the property prior to the second reading of the ordinance and to notify neighbors within the ½ mile radius as determined by staff.**

**Ayes: 4**

**Nays: 0**

**Abstain: 1 (Grannis)**

**Motion carried.**

**FINANCE:**

- C. CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS;** Approve the Closing of Fund 332 to Fund 399 effective December 31, 2011

Ms. Smith stated Council is asked to close Fund 332 General Obligation Refunding 1998B to Fund 399, the Closed Bond Fund, effective December 31, 2011. This would be accomplished through a residual equity transfer of \$2,638.33.

**Motion by Piekarski Krech, second by Madden, to adopt Resolution No. 12-100 approving the closing of Fund 332 to Fund 399 effective December 31, 2011**

**Ayes: 5**

**Nays: 0      Motion carried.**

**8. MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMENTS:**

**9. ADJOURN:** Motion by Madden, second by Piekarski Krech, to adjourn. The meeting was adjourned by a unanimous vote at 10:05 p.m.